

## AISC Live Webinars

Thank you for joining our live webinar today.  
We will begin shortly. Please standby.

Thank you.

Need Help?  
Call ReadyTalk Support: 800.843.9166

### Design of Façade Attachments

Session L2: Façade Attachments, Part 2  
May 16, 2019



## AISC Live Webinars

**Today's live webinar will begin shortly. Please stand by.**

As a reminder, all lines have been muted. Please type any questions or comments through the chat feature on the left portion of your screen.

Today's audio will be broadcast through the internet.  
Alternatively, to hear the audio through the phone, dial:

(800)-581-5838  
Passcode: 959842



## AISC Live Webinars

### Audio Options

Today's audio will be broadcast through the internet.

Alternatively, to hear the audio through the phone, dial:

(800)-581-5838  
Passcode: 959842



## AISC Live Webinars

### AIA Credit

AISC is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems (AIA/CES). Credit(s) earned on completion of this program will be reported to AIA/CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.

This program is registered with AIA/CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.



## AISC Live Webinars

### Copyright Materials

This presentation is protected by US and International Copyright laws. Reproduction, distribution, display and use of the presentation without written permission of AISC is prohibited.

© The American Institute of Steel Construction 2019

The information presented herein is based on recognized engineering principles and is for general information only. While it is believed to be accurate, this information should not be applied to any specific application without competent professional examination and verification by a licensed professional engineer. Anyone making use of this information assumes all liability arising from such use.



## AISC Live Webinars

### Course Description

Façade Attachments, Part 2  
May 16, 2019

Many multi-story structural-steel-framed buildings support the facade's gravity and lateral loads from the spandrel beams or the slab edges. In this session, we will examine the pros and cons of connections to spandrel beams and slab edges along with methods for designing these elements for facade loads. The session will include a series of example problems that address issues of strength and stiffness.



## AISC Live Webinars

### Learning Objectives

- List the detailing options for supporting building facades at cantilever slabs.
- Explain the role of pour stops and bent plates in supporting slab edges and building facades.
- Identify the structural elements that can be added to the system to control the twist of spandrel beams supporting building facades.
- Describe two methods for calculating torsional stresses and rotations of spandrel beams by hand.



## Design of Façade Attachments

Session L2: Façade Attachments, Part 2  
May 16, 2019



Alec Zimmer, PE  
Senior Project Manager  
Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc.  
Waltham, MA



## Syllabus for Webinar Series Sessions

- **Session R1**
  - Fundamentals of Facades
  - Design Criteria
  - Design and Execution Responsibilities
  - Planning for Clearances
  - Thermal Bridging
  - Accommodating Tolerances
- Session L1
- Session L2
- Session L3



9

## Syllabus for Webinar Series Sessions

- Session R1
- **Session L1**
  - Traditional Masonry Cavity Walls
  - Panelized Façade Systems
  - Aluminum-Glass Curtain Walls
  - Sizing Joints for Vertical Movement
- Session L2
- Session L3



10

## Syllabus for Webinar Series Sessions

- Session R1
- Session L1
- **Session L2**
  - Slab Edges
  - Spandrel Beams
  - Cladding Supports Away from Floors
- Session L3



11

### Slab Edge Conditions

## Slab Edge Conditions



The slab edge detail is an important consideration when designing for facade attachments.




12



**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Factors that Influence the Design

- Type, weight, and location of facade
- Amount of slab overhang
- Slab or deck capacity
- Application of facade loads
- Consistent conditions





13

**Slab Edge Conditions**


### Two Fundamental Approaches

- The slab or deck cantilever acts as a beam with a cantilever end to support the facade load.
- The designer does not count on the slab or deck to support the facade loads.

**Approach 1**



**Approach 2**






14

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Project Conditions Affecting Choice of Approach


- Does the typical slab have the capacity?
- Concrete slab?
- Quality control concerns with concrete?
- Slab depressions or openings near the spandrel beam?
- Attachment details need thick plate?




15

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Approach 1: Slab Cantilever Resolves Eccentricity



**NOTES:**

- 1 The slab is designed as a cantilever over the beam. The slab resists the wall anchor forces and delivers a vertical load to the spandrel.
- 2 The spandrel beam is designed for vertical reaction at beam,  $R_{sp}$ , no torsion.



16

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Design of Slab Overhang

Negative moment due to facade loads

Positive moment due to interior loads on floor



17

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Design of Slab Overhang

Slab Reactions (3) Facade Load

Pour Stop

Spandrel Beam

*Metal Deck Perpendicular to Spandrel*

Slab Reactions (3) Facade Load

Pour Stop

Spandrel Beam

*Metal Deck Parallel to Spandrel*

**NOTES:**

- ① The full slab depth is effective when the deck is perpendicular to the spandrel. The effective width is reduced by the presence of the flutes in the deck.
- ② Only the slab depth above the deck is effective when the deck is parallel to the spandrel. The effective width, however, is not reduced.
- ③ The façade load is transferred directly to the slab. The light-gage metal pour stop is not effective as a means of façade attachment.



18

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Design of Slab Overhang

Loaded Area at Edge

Slab Edge

Spandrel Beam Below

*Plan at Slab Edge*

**NOTES:**

- ① Effective width at tip of flange at slab overhang.
- ② Effective width at critical section for slab back span. Note that if the deck is perpendicular to the spandrel, the effective width is reduced by the presence of the flutes in the deck. Use the sum of the rib widths within the effective strip.



19

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Design Aids in Design Guide

**Table 5-4. Cantilevered Slab Flexural Strength,  $\phi M_n$ , kip-in. / ft**  
 Concrete Compressive Strength  $f'_c = 3,000$  psi  
 3-in. Composite Floor Deck Parallel to Spandrel Beam

Slab Reinforcement	Composite Floor Slab Total Thickness (in.)												
	Bars	in. <sup>2</sup> /ft	5	5½	6	6¼ <sup>(6)</sup>	6½	7	7¼ <sup>(6)</sup>	7½ <sup>(6)</sup>	8	8¼ <sup>(6)</sup>	8½
#3@18 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.07	2.92	4.89	6.86	7.8	8.83	10.8	11.5	12.8	14.7	15.7	16.7	
#3@16 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.08	3.28	5.52	7.76	8.9	10.0	12.2	13.1	14.5	16.7	17.8	19.0	
#3@12	0.11	4.18	7.15	10.1	11.6	13.1	16.1	17.2	19.0	22.0	23.5	25.0	
#4@18 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.13	4.19	8.04	11.6	13.4	15.2	18.8	20.2	22.4	26.0	27.8	29.6	

**Table 5-8. Cantilevered Slab Flexural Strength,  $\phi M_n$ , kip-in. / ft**  
 Concrete Compressive Strength  $f'_c = 3,000$  psi  
 3-in. Composite Floor Deck Perpendicular to Spandrel Beam

Slab Reinforcement	Composite Floor Slab Total Thickness (in.)												
	Bars	in. <sup>2</sup> /ft	5	5½	6	6¼ <sup>(7)</sup>	6½	7	7¼ <sup>(6)</sup>	7½ <sup>(6)</sup>	8	8¼ <sup>(10)</sup>	8½
#3@18 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.07	14.5	16.5	18.5	19.5	20.5	22.4	23.2	24.4	26.4	27.4	28.4	
#3@16 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.08	16.4	18.6	20.8	22.0	23.1	25.3	26.2	27.6	29.8	30.9	32.0	
#3@12	0.11	21.4	24.3	27.3	28.8	30.3	33.2	34.4	36.2	39.2	40.7	42.2	
#4@18 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.13	25.1	28.6	32.2	34.0	35.8	39.4	40.8	43.0	46.6	48.4	50.2	



20

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Case Study: Closure Strips

Continuous cell closure strip reduces shear capacity of the slab

21

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Approach 2: Slab Cantilever Does Not Resolve Eccentricity

22

**Slab Edge Conditions**

(See previous slide)

NOTES:

- ① The steel edge member is designed to transfer the forces to spandrel. The moment and shear resistance of the slab is ignored. However, the slab or deck may be designed for in-plane horizontal forces.
- ② The spandrel is designed for vertical load and torsion. The torsion is resolved at columns, roll beams, or kickers.

NOTE:  
 A kicker at the point of vertical load eliminates torsion in spandrel beam.

23

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Approach 2: Slab Cantilever Does Not Resolve Eccentricity

- Some strategies:
  - Rigid facade brackets help reduce eccentricity
  - Kickers
  - Roll beams
  - Torsion in spandrel

24

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Slab Edges with Light Gage Metal Pour Stops

**NOTES:**

- 1 Pour stop is designed for wet weight of concrete, concrete fluid pressure on vertical leg, and 20 psf live load.
- 2 Slab is designed for self weight and all superimposed loads.
- 3 Overhang is generally less than 12 inches.

25

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Use of Light Gage Metal Pour Stops at Slab Edge

- Inexpensive and simple to adjust and erect.
- Clear overhang less than 12 inches.
- Slab will have strength to support all superimposed loads.
- Façade attachment loads go directly into slab (or directly into spandrel) and not through the pour stop.
- Must consider support of pour stops at columns and corners.

26

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Design of Light Gage Metal Pour Stops

27

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### SDI Pour Stop Selection Table

SLAB THICKNESS (inches)	OVERHANG (inches)												
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
4.25	20	20	20	18	18	18	16	14	12	12	10	10	10
4.50	20	20	20	18	18	18	16	14	12	12	10	10	10
4.75	20	20	20	18	18	18	16	14	12	12	10	10	10
5.00	20	20	18	18	18	16	14	12	12	10	10	10	10
5.25	20	18	18	18	16	14	14	12	12	10	10	10	10
5.50	20	18	18	16	16	14	14	12	12	10	10	10	10
5.75	20	18	16	16	14	14	12	12	12	10	10	10	10
6.00	18	18	16	16	14	14	12	12	12	10	10	10	10
6.25	18	18	16	14	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10
6.50	18	16	16	14	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10
6.75	18	16	14	14	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10
7.00	18	16	14	14	12	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10
7.25	18	16	14	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10
7.50	16	16	14	14	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10
7.75	16	14	14	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
8.00	16	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
8.25	14	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
8.50	14	12	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
8.75	14	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
9.00	14	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
9.25	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
9.50	12	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
9.75	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
10.00	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
10.25	12	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
10.50	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
10.75	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
11.00	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
11.25	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
11.50	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
11.75	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
12.00	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

28

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Case Study: Flat Plate Slab Edge

Labels in diagram: Flat plate, 14 Gage Pourstop, Curtain wall mullion, Full-depth stiffener.

Dimensions: 2" ±, 10", 3/16, 1/4, 3/8, 1/2.

Notes: SEE PLAN, NOTE 2, NOTE 1, NOTE 4.

29

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Slab Edges with Structural Steel Bent Plates

- Case 1
  - Simply a pour stop with large overhang.
  - Slab takes superimposed loads and resolves eccentricity, Approach 1.

30

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Bent Plate Case 1: Pour Stop Only

Labels in diagram: Construction LL, Wet Concrete, Cantilever,  $d < 1/8"$ .

31

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Design Aids in Design Guide 22

Table 5-10. Minimum Thickness of Bent Plate, in.  
 Used as a Pour Stop for Normal-Weight Concrete

Slab Thickness, in.	Slab Overhang, in.																	
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18			
4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
4 1/4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
4 1/2	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
4 3/4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
5	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
5 1/4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
5 1/2	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
5 3/4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
6	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
6 1/4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
6 1/2	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
6 3/4	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			
7	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8	3/8			

32

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Slab Edges with Structural Steel Bent Plates

- Case 2
  - A pour stop plus means to attach facade.
  - Slab takes superimposed loads and resolves eccentricity, Approach 1

33

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Bent Plate Case 2 – Pour Stop Plus Means to Attach Façade Elements

**NOTES:**

- Design bent steel plate as pour stop. Commonly  $\frac{1}{6}$  inch min. and  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch max. thickness. Consider steel plate over light gage metal pour stop for large overhangs and/or embedment plates.
- Example of embedment plate for facade attachment. Field weld or otherwise field anchor to steel closure plate. Design to transfer facade attachment loads to slab.
- Shop weld pour stop to spandrel beam if tolerances are accommodated in the facade attachment details; otherwise field weld.
- Overlap as necessary for weld design.
- Maintain minimum clearance for headed studs.
- Design slab to cantilever over spandrel and support loads from facade attachment.

34

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Design Aids in Design Guide 22

Table 5-12. Headed Stud Tensile Strength,  $\phi N_t$ , kips  
 4,000 psi Normal-Weight Concrete Slab Edges

Headed Stud Diameter, in.	Embedment Depth, in.	Total Slab Height, $h_s$ , in.									
		4	4½	5	5½	6	6½	7	7½	7¾	8¼
½	6	2.66	3.03	3.40	3.78	4.16	4.56	4.96	5.16	5.30	5.99
	8	3.01	3.41	3.82	4.24	4.66	5.09	5.30	5.74	5.30	6.64
	10	3.32	3.75	4.20	4.65	5.11	5.30	5.30	6.27	5.30	7.23
¾	6	2.66	3.03	3.40	3.78	4.16	4.56	4.96	5.16	5.37	5.99
	8	3.01	3.41	3.82	4.24	4.66	5.09	5.52	5.74	5.96	6.64
	10	3.32	3.75	4.20	4.65	5.11	5.57	6.04	6.27	6.51	7.23
1	6	2.66	3.03	3.40	3.78	4.16	4.56	4.96	5.16	5.37	5.99
	8	3.01	3.41	3.82	4.24	4.66	5.09	5.52	5.74	5.96	6.64
	10	3.32	3.75	4.20	4.65	5.11	5.57	6.04	6.27	6.51	7.23

*Design Guide 22 includes separate tables for shear capacities. Design Guide 22 does not consider the interaction of shear and tension.*

35

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Slab Edges with Structural Steel Bent Plates

- Case 3
  - No slab to count on or ignored by the designer – Approach 2.

36

### Slab Edge Conditions

## Bent Plate Case 3 – Ignoring Slab Except for In-Plane Forces from Façade

**NOTES:**

- When only the slab in-plane strength is considered, the steel plate (or assembly) must deliver the vertical load  $F_v$  to the spandrel beam.
- The steel plate and headed stud (or deformed bar dowel) transfers the horizontal façade force  $F_H$  to the slab.
- The slab reinforcement is designed for the tension from the horizontal façade force plus the horizontal force from the couple resulting from the kicker.
- The spandrel beam is designed for the vertical loads and reactions, plus torsion between the kickers if the façade loads are applied between kickers.

### Slab Edge Conditions

## Transfer of In-Plane Forces to the Slab

**NOTES:**

- Develop slab reinforcement with 180° hook within embedment of headed stud.
- Deformed bar dowel threaded into bar coupler welded to bent plate. Lap with slab reinforcement.

### Slab Edge Conditions

## Bent Plate Fabrication and Attachment

**NOTES:**

- Indicate design overlap with minimum and maximum for tolerance and adjustment. Design should consider minimum flange widths for minimum overlap, minimum deck bearing, and room for headed studs.
- Provide nominal amount of weld to resist incidental uplift during erection.
- Provide weld as required to resist loads on plate.
- Field adjustment is usually modest due to geometry of flange width and bolt hole edge distances.





### Slab Edge Conditions

## Bent Plate Fabrication and Attachment

(See previous slides)

**NOTES:**

- Indicate design overlap with minimum and maximum for tolerance and adjustment. Design should consider minimum flange widths for minimum overlap, minimum deck bearing, and room for headed studs.
- Provide nominal amount of weld to resist incidental uplift during erection.
- Provide weld as required to resist loads on plate.
- Field adjustment is usually modest due to geometry of flange width and bolt hole edge distances.



**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Design Guide 22 Chapter 5 Examples


- **Example 5.1** – Metal Pour Stop Selection
- **Example 5.2** – Concrete Slab Overhanging a Spandrel Beam
- **Example 5.3** – Bent Plate as a Pour Stop
- **Example 5.4** – Slab Edge With Bent Plate as Pour Stop and Façade Anchorage Plate
- **Example 5.5** – Slab Edge, Bent Plate Supports Façade Loads
- **Example 5.6** – Slab Edge, Welded Bar Coupler Transfers Façade Loads



45

**Slab Edge Conditions**

## Example 5.6: Bent Plate Design



*Bent plate pour stop supporting a façade with the slab flexural and shear capacity ignored, except for resisting out-of-plane forces. Excerpted from Design Guide 22. See Design Guide for complete, detailed example.*

*Fig. 5-33. Section of slab edge with bent plate as pour stop with welded bar coupler.*




46

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Example 5.6

Determine the required plate thickness and verify that the vertical deflection of the bent plate does not exceed 3/16 in. for Condition C:



The vertical load,  $F_V = 1.8$  kip, is applied at  $e_t = 5$  in. from the face of the bent plate. The horizontal load,  $F_H = 1.8$  kips, is applied at eccentricity,  $e_v = 3\frac{1}{2}$  in., above the bottom of the bent plate. The bent plate cantilever beyond the beam flange is  $l_{oh} = 9$  in.

Also determine the required weld size between the plate and the beam flange.

In all cases, design the bent plate to resist all of the façade loads without relying on the concrete slab flexural strength. Use ASTM A36 plate material.

*Fig. 5-33. Section of slab edge with bent plate as pour stop with welded bar coupler.*



47

**Slab Edge Conditions**

### Example 5.6

*Given:*

For this example, assume that the controlling strength load combination is  ~~$1.4D$  ( $D$  for deflection) for Conditions A and B, and  $1.2D + 1.6W$  ( $D + W$  for deflection) for Condition C.~~  $F_V$  is the cladding dead load and  $F_H$  is the wind load. The bent plate pour stop is adequate to resist the wet weight of concrete. The spandrel beam is adequate to resist the torsional loads from the bent plate. Once cured, the concrete slab is adequate to support its own weight and superimposed floor loads.

The architect has set the slab edge such that the distance from the slab edge to the tip of the spandrel beam flange,  $l_{oh} = 9$  in. The total slab height,  $h_s = 7\frac{1}{2}$  in. Thus, the length of the vertical leg of the bent plate,  $l_{vert} = 7\frac{1}{2}$  in. The overlap of the bent plate to the weld on the beam flange,  $s_{weld} = 2\frac{1}{2}$  in.



48

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

The horizontal force,  $F_H$ , acting on the vertical leg of the bent plate is transferred directly to the slab by the welded bar coupler and threaded reinforcing bar. A moment,  $M_{ef}$ , exists due to the horizontal eccentricity,  $e_f$ , between the vertical load,  $F_V$ , and the face of the plate.

$$M_{ef} = F_V e_f$$

$$= 1.8 \text{ kips}(5 \text{ in.})$$


$$= 9.00 \text{ kip-in.}$$


49

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

With the welded bar coupler located at the mid-depth of the slab, the moment arm for this couple,  $e_v = 3\frac{3}{4}$  in. Thus, the bent plate can be designed for the flexure due to  $F_V$  applied at its tip only.



*Fig. 5-34. Analysis model for plate design.*



50


**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

For the strength load combination 1.4D, the required flexural strength is,

$$M_u = 1.4 F_V l_{oh}$$

$$= 1.4 (1.8 \text{ kips})(9 \text{ in.})$$

$$= 22.7 \text{ kip-in.}$$



51

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

Try a  $\frac{7}{16}$ -in. bent plate thickness. The effective width,  $b_{eff}$ , of the bent plate that resists the effects of  $F_V$  is determined assuming that the load spreads at a  $45^\circ$  angle in both directions (see Figure 5-28). Thus,

$$b_{eff} = 2 \tan(45^\circ) l_{oh}$$

$$= 2 \tan(45^\circ)(9 \text{ in.})$$


$$= 18.0 \text{ in.}$$

$$\phi_b M_n = \phi_b F_y Z$$

$$= 0.90 (36 \text{ ksi})(0.861 \text{ in.}^3)$$

$$= 27.9 \text{ kip-in.} \geq M_u \quad \mathbf{o.k.}$$

$Z = \frac{1}{4} b_{eff} t^2$



*Plan at Slab Edge*

The  $1.6F_y S$  limit need not be checked because  $Z/S = 1.5$  for a rectangular plate in bending.



52

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

For deflection, using AISC Manual Table 3-23, case 26,

$$\Delta_V = \frac{F_V l_{oh}^2}{3EI} (l_{oh} + s_{weld})$$

$$I = \frac{1}{12} b_{eff} t^3$$

$$= \frac{1.8 \text{ kips}(9 \text{ in.})^2}{3(29,000 \text{ ksi})(0.126 \text{ in.}^4)} (9 \text{ in.} + 2\frac{1}{2} \text{ in.})$$

$$= 0.153 \text{ in.} \leq \frac{3}{16} \text{ in.} \text{ o.k.}$$

**Use a 7/16-in. bent plate thickness.**



53

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

Try a 3/16-in. fillet weld with  $F_{EXX} = 70$  ksi between the bent plate pour stop and the spandrel beam flange. As developed previously in Example 5.3, the required length of fillet weld per ft of width is,

$$l_{min} = \frac{M_u}{1.392 \text{ kip/in.}(1.5) D s_{weld}}$$

$$= \frac{22.7 \text{ kip-in.}}{1.392 \text{ kip/in.}(1.5)(3)(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ in.})}$$

$$= 1.45 \text{ in.}$$

The minimum permissible fillet weld length is 1 1/2 in. per Specification Section J2.2b.

**Use intermittent 3/16-in. fillet welds, 1 1/2-in. long at 12 in. on center.**



54

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

*Comments:*  
 Using a welded bar coupler and threaded reinforcing bar to resist the out-of-plane forces can reduce the required thickness of the bent plate pour stop, even in instances where the slab is not designed to resist the flexure as a cantilever. As illustrated in Figure 5-34, the welded bar coupler and threaded reinforcing bar must be designed for the effects of the tension forces. If the applicable load combination were 1.2D + 1.6W, the corresponding required strength is,




Fig. 5-34. Analysis model for plate design.

$$T_u + F_{uH} = \frac{1.2F_V e_f}{e_v} + 1.6F_H$$

$$= \frac{1.2(1.8 \text{ kips})(5 \text{ in.})}{3\frac{3}{4} \text{ in.}} + 1.6(1.8 \text{ kips})$$

$$= 5.76 \text{ kips}$$

Headed studs can also be used for this purpose, as illustrated in Example 5.4.




55

**Slab Edge Conditions**

**Example 5.6**

As illustrated in Figure 5-34, there is also a compression component equal to,



Analysis model for plate design.

$$C_u = \frac{1.2F_V e_f}{e_v}$$

$$= \frac{1.2(1.8 \text{ kips})(5 \text{ in.})}{3\frac{3}{4} \text{ in.}}$$

$$= 2.88 \text{ kips}$$

It is assumed that this force is resisted by bearing of the bent plate on the concrete. When this is not the case, or the concrete compressive strength is not adequate, the bent plate thickness can be selected based upon interaction of the moment and compressive force.



56

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## Design of Steel Spandrel Beams




The design of the spandrel beam is more than selecting a wide flange shape that meets flexural strength and stiffness criteria.



57

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations



- Flexural Strength
  - Composite or Noncomposite?
  - Part of a Moment Frame?
  - Any weak axis bending?




58

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations


- Flexural Stiffness
  - Precomposite DL
  - Post-composite DL
    - Facade load
    - Superimposed DL
  - Superimposed LL
  - Floor vibrations
  - Creep, long-term
  - Weak axis loads



59

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations




- Torsion
  - Accounted for in strength design?
  - Resolved at columns?
  - Kickers?
  - Roll beams?
  - Rotation and projected translations?



60

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations




- Connection to Columns
  - Simple shear?
  - Special copes, non standard?
  - Horizontal forces?
  - Torsional forces?



61

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations




- Spandrel dimensions
  - Depth
  - Flange width
  - Flange thickness
  - Project consistency



62

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations



- Centerline location
  - Column connections?
  - Minimize facade eccentricities?
  - Clearances for adjustments?




63

Design of Steel Spandrel Beams

## General Design Considerations

- Fabrication and erection
  - Accounted for?
  - Weight versus complexity?
  - Weight versus erection efficiency?




64

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Design for Vertical Loads

- Stiffness usually controls, but:
  - Check combined stresses
  - Local stresses
    - Flanges
    - Web




65

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Deflection and Movement Limits

- Curvature
  - $L/360$ ,  $L/400$ ,  $L/600$ , etc.
- Absolute magnitude for joints
- Must consider rotation as well as vertical deformations




66

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Sequence of Loading for Serviceability

- When do the deflections occur relative to the construction of the facade?
  - Which loads close joints in the back-up?
  - Which loads close joints in the facade?



67

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Case Study: Deflection Design

- Framing Parameters:
  - 30 ft span
  - SIDL: 10 psf
  - LL: 100 psf
  - Story height: 12 ft
  - Spandrel trib. width: 5.5 ft
  - 6-1/4 in. LWC on 3 in. deck
  - Deck parallel to beam
  - Ignore torsion...for now...
- Example Walls:
  - BV + CMU Backup
  - BV + SS Backup
  - 6" PC Wall Panel
  - Aluminum Curtain Wall

68

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Case Study: Deflection Design

**Table 6-1. Example Composite Spandrel Beam Deflections  
 Brick Veneer Cladding 8 in. CMU Back-Up (Grouted 32 in. o.c.)**

	Deflection Restriction		
	no $\delta$ restriction	$\delta_{NTD} = L/240$ $\delta_{LL} = L/360$	$\delta_{LL+SIDL} = 3/16$ in.
Required spandrel size	W16x26	W16x31	W21x62
Pre-composite deflection, in.	0.606	0.494	0.154
Deflection due to back-up, in.	0.424	0.356	0.165
Deflection due to brick, in.	0.339	0.284	0.132
Deflection due to SIDL, in.	0.0389	0.0326	0.015
Deflection due to LL, in.	0.389	0.326	0.151
Camber, in.	none	none	none
Net Total Deflection, in.	1.798	1.494	0.617
$\delta_{LL+SIDL}$ , in.	0.429	0.359	0.166
$M_r / \phi_b M_n$	0.934	0.774	0.421

69

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Case Study: Deflection Design

**Table 6-2. Example Composite Spandrel Beam Deflections  
 Brick Veneer Cladding with 6 in. Steel Stud Back-Up (16-in. Spacing)**

	Deflection Restriction		
	no $\delta$ restriction	$\delta_{NTD} = L/240$ $\delta_{LL} = L/360$	$\delta_{LL+SIDL} = 3/16$ in.
Required spandrel size	W12x19	W16x26	W21x62
Pre-composite deflection, in.	1.369	0.606	0.154
Deflection due to back-up, in.	0.113	0.081	0.0279
Deflection due to brick, in.	0.501	0.359	0.132
Deflection due to SIDL, in.	0.0574	0.041	0.0151
Deflection due to LL, in.	0.574	0.411	0.151
Camber, in.	none	none	none
Net Total Deflection, in.	2.614	1.499	0.482
$\delta_{LL+SIDL}$ , in.	1.132	0.811	0.166
$M_r / \phi_b M_n$	0.937	0.754	0.327

70

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Case Study: Deflection Design

**Table 6-3. Example Composite Spandrel Beam Deflections  
 6 in. Precast Concrete Panel Cladding**

	Deflection Restriction		
	no $\delta$ restriction	$\delta_{NTD} = L/240$ $\delta_{LL} = L/360$	$\delta_{LL+SIDL} = 3/8$ in.
Required spandrel size	W14x22	W16x26	W18x40
Pre-composite deflection, in.	0.903	0.606	0.312
Deflection due to precast, in.	0.735	0.533	0.457
Deflection due to SIDL, in.	0.0449	0.0326	0.0297
Deflection due to LL, in.	0.449	0.326	0.297
Camber, in.	none	none	none
Net Total Deflection, in.	2.133	1.497	1.076
$\delta_{LL+SIDL}$ , in.	1.229	0.892	0.307
$M_r / \phi_b M_n$	0.930	0.736	0.621

71

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Case Study: Deflection Design

**Table 6-4. Example Composite Spandrel Beam Deflections  
 Aluminum Curtain Wall Cladding System**

	Deflection Restriction		
	no $\delta$ restriction	$\delta_{NTD} = L/240$ $\delta_{LL} = L/360$	$\delta_{LL+SIDL+CW} = 3/4$ in.
Required spandrel size	W12x14	W16x26	W16x26
Pre-composite deflection, in.	1.973	0.606	0.606
Deflection due to curtain wall, in.	0.243	0.151	0.151
Deflection due to SIDL, in.	0.0744	0.0462	0.0462
Deflection due to LL, in.	0.744	0.462	0.462
Camber, in.	1.25	none	none
Net Total Deflection, in.	1.786	1.266	1.266
$\delta_{LL+SIDL+CW}$ , in.	1.061	0.659	0.659
$M_r / \phi_b M_n$	0.930	0.633	0.633

72



**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Designing for Torsion

(a) Brick veneer and block facade system  
 Soft Joint Aligns with Window Heads

(b) Facade forces impose torsion on spandrel beam

73

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Designing for Torsion

COL. SPANDREL BEAM DECK SPAN SHELF ANGLE

PLAN VIEW

74

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Kickers to Mitigate Torsion

Kickers eliminate torsion

COL. SPANDREL BEAM DECK SPAN SHELF ANGLE

Plan View

Section View

75

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Kickers to Mitigate Torsion

Kickers reduce torsion – torsion in spandrel between kickers.

COL. SPANDREL BEAM DECK SPAN SHELF ANGLE


Plan View

76



**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Kickers to Mitigate Torsion




**NOTES:**

- 1 The steel edge member is designed to transfer the forces to the spandrel. The slab is neglected.
- 2 The spandrel beam is designed for the vertical reactions and torsion between kicker locations.
- 3 Kicker reaction results in both horizontal and vertical load to bottom flange of spandrel.
- 4 The force couple at the top and bottom flange resolves accumulated torsion from spandrel. The top flange of the spandrel must be restrained by the deck/slab or a supplemental member.
- 5 The kicker applies a vertical and horizontal force to an interior beam and/or slab.

77

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Roll Beams to Mitigate Torsion




Roll beam reduces torsion.  
Torsion in spandrel between roll beam and columns.

"Moment" connection

78

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Roll Beams to Mitigate Torsion



79

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

(See previous slide)

**NOTES:**

- 1 The steel edge member is designed to transfer the forces to the spandrel. The slab is neglected, except for horizontal forces.
- 2 The spandrel beam is designed for the vertical reactions and torsion between roll beams. The roll beams restrain twist of the spandrel at intermittent locations.
- 3 A full-depth stiffener or other connection is designed for moment and resulting vertical reactions. The reactions may include floor loads if the roll beam is also a floor beam.
- 4 The moment in the roll beam is determined as the accumulated torsion from the spandrel.
- 5 The moment applied to the end of the roll beam is resolved by vertical shears at each end.

80

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Designing for Torsion

- Detailed guidance on torsional stresses and rotations of bare steel wide-flange shapes.
- Rotation about center of shape.
- Considers warping normal stresses and torsional shear stresses.

*Torsional Analysis of Structured Steel Members*

81

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Flexural Analogy Method

$F = T/h$

*(a) Flexural Analogy for Calculating Idealized Rotation Ignoring Slab Restraint*

82

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Center of Rotation

*(a) Idealized Torsion-Ignoring Slab*

*(b) Actual Torsion-Slab Flexure Restraining Motion*

*(c) Idealized Torsion-Ignoring Slab Flexure but Including In-Plane Restraint*

83

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Slab Only Restrains Translation

Slab changes center of rotation and reduces the amount of rotation by forcing the center of rotation up.

84

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Effects of Rotation at Slab

$\theta_{FA}$

$\Delta_{hrb}$

$\Delta_{vrb}$



85

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Modified AISC Design Guide 9 Method

Use DG #9 Method to get rotation and then assume rotation occurs at top of beam at slab.



86

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Modified Flexural Analogy

$F = T/h$

$\Delta_F$

$F$

$h$

$\Delta_F$

*(a) Flexural Analogy for Calculating Idealized Rotation Ignoring Slab Restraint*

$F = T/h$

$\Delta_F$

$F$

$h$

$\Delta_F$

*(b) Flexural Analogy for Calculating Rotation with Top Flange Braced Laterally - No Rotational Restraint by Slab or Deck*



87

*Design of Steel Spandrel Beams*

## Appendix A Study


- Three models
  - FEM
  - Modified DG #9
  - Modified Flex. Analogy
- Two spans
  - 10 ft
  - 30 ft
- Two load shapes
  - Concentrated
  - Uniform




88

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Appendix A Study



Modified DG #9 Method



Modified Flexural Analogy Method


89

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Appendix A Study

**Table A-1. Top Flange Rotation for 10-ft Span with Distributed Twist**

Beam	Rotation (rad.)			Ratio		
	Finite Element Model (FEM)	Modified Design Guide Method (MDGM)	Modified Flexural Analogy Method (MFAM)	MDGM/ FEM	MFAM/ FEM	MDGM/ MFAM
W14×30	0.417	0.807	0.477	1.93	1.14	1.69
W14×43	0.178	0.349	0.209	1.97	1.18	1.67
W18×40	0.242	0.468	0.291	1.93	1.20	1.61
W18×60	0.0879	0.175	0.107	1.99	1.22	1.63
W24×62	0.0791	0.158	0.0923	2.00	1.17	1.71
W24×117	0.00865	0.020	0.0101	2.31	1.17	1.97

On shorter spans, the MFAM more closely matches FEM results.


90

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Appendix A Study

**Table A-2. Top Flange Rotation for 10-ft Span with Concentrated Mid-Span Twist**

Beam	Rotation (rad.)			Ratio		
	Finite Element Model (FEM)	Modified Design Guide Method (MDGM)	Modified Flexural Analogy Method (MFAM)	MDGM/ FEM	MFAM/ FEM	MDGM/ MFAM
W14×30	0.333	0.648	0.382	1.95	1.15	1.70
W14×43	0.141	0.281	0.168	1.99	1.19	1.68
W18×40	0.193	0.377	0.233	1.96	1.21	1.62
W18×60	0.0699	0.140	0.0858	2.01	1.23	1.64
W24×62	0.0629	0.127	0.0738	2.02	1.17	1.72
W24×117	0.00684	0.016	0.00811	2.34	1.19	1.97

On shorter spans, the MFAM more closely matches FEM results.


91

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Appendix A Study

**Table A-3. Top Flange Rotation for 30-ft Span with Distributed Twist**

Beam	Rotation (rad.)			Ratio		
	Finite Element Model (FEM)	Modified Design Guide Method (MDGM)	Modified Flexural Analogy Method (MFAM)	MDGM/ FEM	MFAM/ FEM	MDGM/ MFAM
W14×30	20.1	25.4	42.4	1.26	2.11	0.599
W14×43	8.37	9.83	17.2	1.17	2.06	0.571
W18×40	10.7	12.9	24.3	1.21	2.27	0.531
W18×60	3.90	4.81	8.72	1.23	2.24	0.551
W24×62	4.11	5.27	7.50	1.28	1.82	0.703
W24×117	0.603	0.961	0.821	1.59	1.36	1.17

On longer spans, the MFAM is excessively conservative.


92

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Appendix A Study

Table A-4. Top Flange Rotation for 30-ft Span with Concentrated Mid-Span Twist

Beam	Rotation (rad.)			Ratio		
	Finite Element Model (FEM)	Modified Design Guide Method (MDGM)	Modified Flexural Analogy Method (MFAM)	MDGM/FEM	MFAM/FEM	MDGM/MFAM
W14x30	5.43	6.98	10.4	1.29	1.91	0.674
W14x43	2.25	2.71	4.53	1.21	2.01	0.599
W18x40	2.88	3.56	6.29	1.24	2.18	0.566
W18x60	1.05	1.33	2.32	1.27	2.21	0.574
W24x62	1.11	1.44	1.99	1.31	1.80	0.724
W24x117	0.161	0.259	0.219	1.61	1.36	1.18

On longer spans, the MFAM is excessively conservative.




93

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Appendix A Study - Conclusion


- For most practical cases where torsional spans need to be kept short (on the range of 10 ft) to limit deflections the Modified Flexural Analogy Method better reflects actual conditions and is easier to implement.




94

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Other Conditions with Torsion




*Framing Part-Plan*



95

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Other Options for Increasing Rotational Resistance



(Note: Sheathing and Insulation Not Shown for Clarity, Typ.)

(a) Wide-Flange Beam with Cover Plate      (b) HSS      (c) Wide-Flange Beam/HSS Combination



96

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Design Guide 22 Chapter 6 Examples

- **Example 6.1** - Roof Spandrel Beam with Eccentric Curtain Wall Load
- **Example 6.2** - Roof Spandrel Beam with Eccentric Curtain Wall Load – Torsion Restrained with Roll Beams
- **Example 6.3** - Roof Spandrel Beam with Eccentric Curtain Wall Load – Torsion Avoided with HSS and Roll Beams
- **Example 6.4** - Roof Spandrel Beam with Eccentric Curtain Wall – Torsion on Spandrel Avoided by Kickers
- **Example 6.5** - Floor Spandrel Beam with Eccentric Precast Panel Loads
- **Example 6.6** - Floor Spandrel Beam with Eccentric Precast Panel Loads at Floor Opening



97

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

## Example 6.1: Roof Spandrel Beam

*Excerpts from Design Guide. See Design Guide 22 for complete, detailed example.*






Fig. 6-7. Section of roof spandrel beam with eccentric curtain wall load.

Fig. 6-8. Roof plan at spandrel beam.



98

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

### Example 6.1

*Design Assumptions:*


1. The beam can be assumed to be flexurally pinned and torsionally restrained at the supporting columns.
2. The roof deck braces the compression flange of the beam against lateral torsional buckling. The roof deck also resists the lateral wind load.
3. Assume the following load combinations control:  
 Strength:  $1.2D + 1.6S + 0.8W$   
 Deflection:  $D + S + W$
4. Acceptable deflection limit is 0.90 in.



99

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

### Example 6.1



Note that wind loads are omitted for clarity.

Each curtain wall point load on the beam is,

$$P_{cw} = w_{curt} h_c s_c$$

$$= 0.015 \text{ kip/ft}^2 (28 \text{ ft})(5 \text{ ft})$$

$$= 2.10 \text{ kips}$$

Fig. 6-9. Loads on roof spandrel beam.



100

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

Try W18×35, ASTM A992

**Step 1: Design Beam Neglecting Torsion.**

A. Design the beam for strength

Demand-to-capacity ratio

$$\frac{M_u}{\phi_b M_n} = \frac{102 \text{ kip-ft}}{249 \text{ kip-ft}} = 0.410 \leq 1.0 \quad \text{o.k.}$$

Demand-to-capacity ratio

$$\frac{V_u}{\phi_v V_n} = \frac{13.7 \text{ kips}}{159 \text{ kips}} = 0.0862 \leq 1.0 \quad \text{o.k.}$$


101

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

The total deflection includes both the deflection of the L6×4×7/16 edge angle and the deflection of the spandrel beam.




Fig. 6-10. Loads on curtain wall support angle.



102

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

Because the tip of the angle leg is at the beam flange centerline, the distance from the heel of the angle to the tip of the beam flange,  $l_{oh} = 3$  in. Thus,

$$b_{eff} = 2 \tan(45^\circ)(l_{oh} + e_v) + b_{cw}$$

$$= 2 \tan(45^\circ)(3 \text{ in.} + 2 \text{ in.}) + 2 \text{ in.}$$

$$= 12.0 \text{ in.}$$

$b_{eff}$  is effective width  
 $e_v$  is vertical eccentricity  
 $l_{oh}$  is angle cantilever

The edge angle moment of inertia is,

$$I = \frac{b_{eff} t^3}{12}$$

$$= \frac{12.0 \text{ in.} \left(\frac{7}{16} \text{ in.}\right)^3}{12}$$

$$= 0.0837 \text{ in.}^4$$


103

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

For the angle deflection, each point load on the edge angle is,

$$P_{wind} = p_w s_c \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$= 0.030 \text{ kips/ft}^2 (5 \text{ ft}) \left(\frac{14 \text{ ft}}{2}\right)$$

$$= 1.05 \text{ kips}$$

$p_w$  is wind pressure  
 $s_c$  is curtain wall spacing  
 $h$  is story height

The wind point load acts at the center of the vertical angle leg; thus,  $e_v = 2$  in. The moment at the base of the vertical leg of the edge angle due to the wind point load is,

$$M_{wa} = P_{cw}(e_{cw} - l_{eod}) - P_{wind} e_v$$

$$= 2.10 \text{ kips} (9 \text{ in.} - 6 \text{ in.}) - 1.05 \text{ kip} (2 \text{ in.})$$

$$= 8.4 \text{ kip-in.}$$


104

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

The total vertical deflection of the angle tip (using AISC Manual Table 3-23, case 22, and an additional term to account for the effect of moment  $M_{wa}$ ), is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{va} &= \frac{P_{cw} l_{oh}^3}{3EI} + \frac{M_{wa} l_{oh}^2}{2EI} \\ &= \frac{2.10 \text{ kips} (3 \text{ in.})^3}{3(29,000 \text{ ksi})(0.0837 \text{ in.}^4)} \\ &\quad + \frac{8.4 \text{ kip-in} (3 \text{ in.})^2}{2(29,000 \text{ ksi})(0.0837 \text{ in.}^4)} \\ &= 0.0234 \text{ in.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that this neglects torsional effects on the spandrel.



105

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

For the spandrel beam deflection, the uniform service load is,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_D + w_S \\ &= 0.118 \text{ kip/ft} + 0.165 \text{ kip/ft} \\ &= 0.283 \text{ kip/ft} \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding deflection at midspan is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_w &= \frac{5wL^4}{384EI_x} \\ &= \frac{5(0.283 \text{ kip/ft})(30 \text{ ft})^4 (12 \text{ in./ft})^3}{384(29,000 \text{ ksi})(510 \text{ in.}^4)} \\ &= 0.349 \text{ in.} \end{aligned}$$


106

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

Using AISC Manual Table 3-23, case 9, the midspan deflections due to the curtain wall point loads along the beam span are as follows. For the pair of loads at 2½ ft from the beam end ( $a_1 = 2\frac{1}{2}$  ft),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{p1} &= \frac{P_{cw} a_1}{24EI_x} (3L^2 - 4a_1^2) \\ &= \frac{2.10 \text{ kips} (2\frac{1}{2} \text{ ft})(12 \text{ in./ft})^3}{24(29,000 \text{ ksi})(510 \text{ in.}^4)} [3(30 \text{ ft})^2 - 4(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ ft})^2] \\ &= 0.0684 \text{ in.} \end{aligned}$$

Repeat at 7½ ft ( $\Delta = 0.190$  in) and 12½ ft ( $\Delta = 0.265$  in)

Total Deflection = 0.0234 + 0.349 + 0.0684 + 0.190 + 0.265 = 0.896 < 0.9 inches which was given as the acceptable total deflection at mid-span

Note that this neglects torsional effects on the spandrel.




107

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

For Condition B with a W21x50 spandrel beam, the torsional analysis method presented in AISC Design Guide No. 9, *Torsional Analysis of Structural Steel Members* (Seaburg and Carter, 1997), will be used.



**W21x50**

Fig. 6-11. Vertical and torsional loads on spandrel beam.



108

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

Using AISC Design Guide No. 9 Equation 4-16a, the interaction of combined normal stresses assuming the predominant limit state is yielding is,

$$\frac{M_u}{\phi_b F_y S_x} + \frac{\sigma_{wsu}}{0.9 F_y} = \frac{104 \text{ kip-ft}}{354 \text{ kip-ft}} + \frac{24.8 \text{ ksi}}{0.9(50 \text{ ksi})}$$

$$= 0.845 \leq 1.0 \quad \text{o.k.}$$

*$\sigma_{wsu}$  is warping normal stress (See DG9)*

The interaction of pure torsion shear stress and direct shear is,

$$\frac{V_u}{\phi_v V_n} + \frac{\tau_t}{0.9(0.6 F_y)} = \frac{13.9 \text{ kips}}{237 \text{ kips}} + \frac{13.6 \text{ ksi}}{0.9(0.6)(50 \text{ ksi})}$$

$$= 0.562 \leq 1.0 \quad \text{o.k.}$$

*$\tau_t$  is torsional shear stress (See DG9)*




109

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

**Check Deflection at Mid-Span Flexural Deformation of W21x50**  
 Vertical deflection = 0.473 in. (see example)



*Fig. 6-12. Rigid body rotation about center of top flange.*



110

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

For the rotational components of the deflection calculations,

$$t = t_{cw} + t_{wind}$$

$$= 0.315 \text{ kip-ft/ft} + 0.0350 \text{ kip-ft/ft}$$

$$= 0.350 \text{ kin-ft/ft}$$

*$t_{cw}$  is torsion due to curtain wall  
 $t_{wind}$  is torsion due to wind*

The rotation of the beam at midspan is based on the service level torsion,

$$\theta = 0.97 \text{ rad.} \left( \frac{t}{GJ} \right) 2a^2$$

$$= 0.97 \text{ rad.} \left( \frac{0.350 \text{ kip-ft/ft}}{(11,200 \text{ ksi})(1.14 \text{ in.}^4)} \right) (2)(76.4 \text{ in.})^2$$

$$= 0.310 \text{ rad. (or } 17.8^\circ)$$

*Refer to DG9, Appendix B, Case 4 for this calculation*

The heel of the angle will deflect vertically by,

$$\Delta_{vrb} = l_{wd} \sin(17.8^\circ)$$

$$= (6.00 \text{ in.}) \sin(17.8^\circ)$$

$$= 1.83 \text{ in.}$$

Total vertical deflection of the beam is,  
 $A_{total} = 0.473 \text{ in.} + 1.83 \text{ in.}$   
 $= 2.30 \text{ in.}$



111

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.1**

This total vertical deflection substantially exceeds the deflection limit, primarily due to the torsional effects. In fact, the torsional effects alone for this beam exceed the stated deflection limit for the curtain wall system.

Thus, even a spandrel beam as heavy as a W21x50 has substantial torsional rotations. In the following examples, a system that uses roll beams to reduce the torsion on the spandrel beam will be designed.



112

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

### Example 6.1

Modified Flexural Analogy Method

The weak-axis moment of inertia of the bottom half of the W21x50 beam is,

$$I_{y,FA} = \frac{I_y}{2} = \frac{24.9 \text{ in.}^4}{2} = 12.5 \text{ in.}^4$$

The corresponding deflection of the bottom flange is,

$$\Delta_{M,FA} = \frac{5w_{FA}L^4}{384EI_{y,FA}} = \frac{5(0.202 \text{ kip/ft})(30 \text{ ft})^4(12 \text{ in./ft})^3}{384(29,000 \text{ ksi})(12.5 \text{ in.}^4)} = 10.2 \text{ in.}$$

Because the beam is forced to rotate about its top flange, the full beam depth can be used when calculating the angle of rotation, which is,

$$\theta_{FA} = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\Delta_{M,FA}}{d}\right) = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{10.2 \text{ in.}}{20.8 \text{ in.}}\right) = 29.4^\circ$$

The equivalent uniform load applied laterally to the bottom flange to generate the torsional moment is,

$$w_{FA} = \frac{t}{d} = \frac{0.350 \text{ kip-ft/ft} (12 \text{ in./ft})}{20.8 \text{ in.}} = 0.202 \text{ kip/ft}$$

*MFAM is excessively conservative for longer spans*




113

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

### Example 6.2: Roof Spandrel Beam with Roll Beams

Excerpts from Design Guide. See Design Guide 22 for complete, detailed example.




114

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

### Example 6.2




Fig. 6-17. Loads on and reactions due to roll beam.



115

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

### Example 6.2

The rotation of the spandrel beam at midspan (between roll beams) is,

$$\theta = \frac{2F_T t a^2}{GJ} = \frac{2(0.037 \text{ rad.})(0.350 \text{ kip-ft/ft})(67.8 \text{ in.})^2}{(11,200 \text{ ksi})(0.810 \text{ in.}^4)} = 0.0131 \text{ rad. (or } 0.751^\circ)$$

$F_T = \text{Torsional rotation function from DG9, Appendix B, Case 4}$


Using AISC Design Guide No. 9 Equation 4-16a, the interaction of combined normal stresses assuming the predominant limit state is yielding is,

$$\frac{M_u}{\phi_b M_n} + \frac{\sigma_{wst}}{0.9F_y} = \frac{109 \text{ kip-ft}}{257 \text{ kip-ft}} + \frac{8.10 \text{ ksi}}{0.9(50 \text{ ksi})} = 0.604 \leq 1.0 \text{ o.k.}$$


116

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.2**



$$\theta_{RB} = \frac{M_{RB} L_{RB}}{3EI_{xRB}}$$

$$M_{RB} = 2 \times T = 2 \times t \left( \frac{L_T}{2} \right)$$

*Fig. 6-18. Rotation of roll beam.*

The rotation of the roll beam (see Figure 6-18) is,

$$\theta_{RB} = \frac{2TL_{RB}}{3EI_{xRB}} = \frac{2(1.75 \text{ kip-ft})(10 \text{ ft})(12 \text{ in./ft})^2}{3(29,000 \text{ ksi})(53.8 \text{ in.}^4)}$$

$$= 0.00108 \text{ rad (or } 0.0617^\circ)$$


117


**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.2**

The rotation of spandrel beam at midspan including the rotation contribution from the end of the roll beams is,

$$\theta_{total} = \theta + \theta_{RB}$$

$$= 0.751^\circ + 0.0617^\circ$$

$$= 0.813^\circ$$


$$y_f = r \sin(\theta)$$

$$= (6.00 \text{ in.}) \sin(-0.813^\circ)$$

$$= -0.0851 \text{ in.}$$


118

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.2**

The total vertical movement at the curtain wall attachment point (the sum of the flexural and rotational deflections) is,

$$\Delta_v = \Delta_f + \Delta_{vb}$$

$$= 0.770 + 0.0851$$

$$= 0.855 \text{ in.} \leq 0.90 \text{ in.} \quad \mathbf{o.k.}$$

Note that  $\Delta_v$  is comprised of flexural deformations due to the uniform load on the beam, the point loads from the curtain wall attachments and the roll beam reactions on the spandrel beam



119

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.2**

**Modified Flexural Analogy**

Moment of inertia of bottom flange

$$I_{y\_FA} = \frac{I_y}{2}$$

$$I_{y\_FA} = 9.55 \text{ in}^4$$

Equivalent force to apply to bottom flange.

$$w_{FA} = \frac{t}{d}$$

$$w_{FA} = 235 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{ft}}$$

Deflection of bottom flange

$$\Delta_{bf\ FA} = \frac{5w_{FA}L_T^4}{384EI_{y\ FA}}$$

$$= \frac{5(0.235)(10)^4(1728)}{384(29,000)(9.55)} = 0.191 \text{ in.}$$


120

**Design of Steel Spandrel Beams**

**Example 6.2**

*Because the beam is forced to rotate about its top flange the full beam depth can be used when calculating  $\theta$ .*

*Equivalent rotation about the top flange using flexural analogy*

$$\theta_{FA} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\Delta_{bf FA}}{d} \right) = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{0.191 \text{ in.}}{17.9 \text{ in.}} \right) = 0.610^\circ$$

*In this case the rotation predicted by the flexural analogy is approximately 75-percent of the rotation from the design guide method. The actual rotation of the beam, as determined by finite element analysis, is approximately two thirds of the rotation predicted by the Design Guide 9 method and 84-percent of that predicted by the flexural analogy. This illustrates that for shorter spans, the flexural analogy is not only easier to calculate, but provides a more realistic rotation than the Design Guide 9 method.*



121

**Supporting Facades Away from Floor Levels**

## Supporting Facades Away from Floor Levels



At atrium and lobby spaces, it is frequently necessary to provide intermediate gravity and lateral load support for cladding system



122

**Supporting Facades Away from Floor Levels**

## Design Criteria


- For curtain wall, typically limit deflections to:
  - L/360
  - 3/4 in. max
- Frequently architecturally exposed (AESS)
- May need hangers to support gravity loads




123

**Supporting Facades Away from Floor Levels**

## Case Study: Curtain Wall Transition at Lobby Space



*Section View*

**Problem:**  
 A building has a panelized façade system. A double height space has curtain wall from the ground floor up to mid-height of the space and the façade panel extends down from the floor above. Typically, the façade panels are self supporting but the specialty engineer for the façade system indicates that at this location structural steel is required for the façade to laterally support the top of the curtain wall and the bottom of the panels.

Design the structural steel required to support the façade and curtain wall attachment. Deflection at the top of the curtain wall must be limited to 3/4" to avoid damaging the glass. Deflection of the façade panel does not govern.



124

Supporting Facades Away from Floor Levels

### Case Study: Curtain Wall Transition at Lobby Space

Elevation View



125

AISC | Questions?



Smarter.  
Stronger.  
Steel.

### Single-Session Registrants

---

#### CEU / PDH Certificates

- You will receive an email on how to report attendance from: [registration@aisc.org](mailto:registration@aisc.org).
- Be on the lookout: Check your spam filter! Check your junk folder!
- Completely fill out online form. Don't forget to check the boxes next to each attendee's name!



Smarter.  
Stronger.  
Steel.

### Single-Session Registrants

---

#### CEU / PDH Certificates

- Reporting site (URL will be provided in the forthcoming email).
- Username: Same as AISC website username.
- Password: Same as AISC website password.



Smarter.  
Stronger.  
Steel.

## 4-Session Registrants

### CEU / PDH Certificates

One certificate will be issued at the conclusion of the course.



## 4-Session Registrants

### Attendance and PDH Certificates

- For Session R1, you must pass the quiz to receive credit for the session.
- For Sessions L1 – L3, you have two options to receive credit for the session.
  - Option 1: Watch the live session. Credit for live attendance will be displayed on the Course Resources table within two days of the session.
  - Option 2: Watch the recording and pass the associated quiz.

### Videos and Quizzes

- Session R1 video recording and quiz access has been available since you registered.
- For Sessions L1 – L3, find access by the end of the day, Friday, after the live air date. (An email will be sent from [webinars@aisc.org](mailto:webinars@aisc.org).)
- All video recordings and quizzes are available until 8:00 a.m. ET on June 17.
- Quiz scores are displayed in the Course Resources table.

### Distribution of Certificates

All certificates will be issued after the course is completed (the week of June 17). Only the registrant will receive a certificate for the course.



## 4-Session Registrants

### Course Resources

Find all your handouts, quizzes and quiz scores, recording access, and attendance information in one place!



## 4-Session Registrants

### Course Resources

Go to [www.aisc.org](http://www.aisc.org) and sign in.

## 4-Session Registrants

### Course Resources

Go to [www.aisc.org](http://www.aisc.org) and sign in.

**IN THIS SECTION**

- Edit Profile
- My Downloads
- My Pending Orders
- My Events
- Order History
- Course History
- Course Resources

**MyAISC**

**MY PROFILE**  
 Update your contact and address information.  
[EDIT PROFILE](#)

**MY PURCHASED DOWNLOADS**  
 Access articles and documents that you have purchased.  
[VIEW DOWNLOADS](#)

**MY COURSE RESOURCES**  
 View online resources for Night School and Live Webinar package registrations.  
[VIEW RESOURCES](#)

## 4-Session Registrants

### Course Resources

Event	Start Date
Structural Design in Steel	3/17/2019 12:00:00 AM
4-Session Package Design of Façade Attachments	5/9/2019 1:00:00 PM
101.20.8-Session Package Night School 24 - Supplemental of Corrosion Design	2/21/2018 7:00:00 PM
101.20.8-Session Package Night School 24 - Structural Design in Steel	2/21/2018 7:00:00 PM
101.27.4-Session Package Night School 27 - Design of Façade Attachments	1/18/2018 7:00:00 PM
101.28.8-Session Package Night School 28 - Steel Construction: NFPA Towering Out	10/15/2018 7:00:00 PM
101.28.8-Session Package Night School 28 - Corrosion Design	2/4/2018 7:00:00 PM
101.27.4-Session Package Night School 26 - Classical Methods of Structural Analysis	6/3/2018 7:00:00 PM
8-Session Package Design in Steel - Concepts & Examples	1/18/2018 1:00:00 PM

## 4-Session Registrants

### Course Resources

**Design of Façade Attachments**

**4-SESSION PACKAGE RESOURCES**

Event	Date	Handouts	Video	Quiz	Attendance
41. Façade Fundamentals	N/A	Handouts	Video	Quiz	N/A
L1. Façade Attachments Part 1	May 9 2019 1:30PM EDT	Handouts	Available 05/11/2019 9:00PM EDT	Available 05/11/2019 9:00 PM EDT	Pending
L2. Façade Attachments Part 2	May 16 2019 1:30PM EDT	Handouts	Available 05/18/2019 9:00PM EDT	Available 05/18/2019 9:00 PM EDT	Pending
L3. Façade Attachments - Building Lateral Drifts	May 23 2019 1:30PM EDT	Handouts	Available 05/25/2019 9:00PM EDT	Available 05/25/2019 9:00 PM EDT	Pending
Total Exam	N/A			Available 5/27/2019 5:00 PM EDT	

AISC | Thank you.

**Smarter.  
Stronger.  
Steel.**